



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,592	07/11/2001	William Holm	0104-0354P	7653	
2292 DIDCH STEW	7590 08/17/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747			PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/17/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/901,592	HOLM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Frederick J. Parker	1762		

	Frederick J. Parker	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment stice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid at , affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	e of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fe tutory period for reply originally set in	ee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a b	rief, will not be entered	because
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see I w); ter form for appeal by materiall	NOTE below); y reducing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· -	rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ·		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to:	•	•	
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal will	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fa . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. 🔀 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or atta	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	
13.		Flederick J. Parke	
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1762

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to #10 and #11: the 1.132 Declaration is acknowledged but not persuasive. The inventor in 3 discussed aspects of their technology not present in the claims (e.g. SMT technology), and therefore the Declaration is not commensurate with scope of claims. Both 10 and 11 assume (incorrectly) the preamble deserves significant patentable weight; in fact the claims themselves are devoid of any hint of a mounting step. Applicants arguments simply assume this is so, rendering the arguments non-persuasive. Furthermore, Applicants appear to have dismissed the Examiner's points on claim interpretation, and reminds Applicants the Examiner must give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation. This includes the fact that a "component/s" (whatever that is) may be mounted onto any substrate. Claims 1,39,41 simply require screen printing a substrate and "add-on jetting" of additional material on the screen printed substrate prior to hardening of earlier prined material. NOWHERE is it required the add-on material be applied onto the screen printed portion of the material, only onto the screen printed substrate, which clearly includes bare substrate which may be screen printed in other areas thereof. Thus screen printing followed by depositing droplets in different areas as described on col. 3 of US'896 meets the limitations. Applicants insert similar arguments for the additional rejections, which are therefore equally unconvincing for the same reasons, incorporated from above. If Applicants are intending to claim a process that is used for adding on material to already printed material patterns and then using these to mount-components thereon, then the invention need be so claimed. The Declaration and arguments are not convincing; claims 1-819,20,31,34,37-42 remain rejected.